

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,445	01/14/2000	Dianna L Cleveland	DCLEVE.001A	8402
	7590 12/09/2002			
LOWELL ANDEERSON STETINA, BRUNDA, GARRED & BRUCKER 75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			EXAMINER	
			HARRISON, CHANTE E	
ALISO VIE	JO, CA 92030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Advisory Action	09/483,445	CLEVELAND, DIANNA L			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chante Harrison	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti 	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:			
10.☐ Other:					
	MICHA SUPERVISORY	EL RAZAVI PATENT EXAMINER			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant initially claims the text for individual characters in a dialogue having first and second indicia respective to the individual characters text. The newly added claim feature "the first indicia being unique to the dialogue of the first character" creates a new issue because defining unique indicia for the dialogue, which defines a conversation between two or more characters, was not previously claimed..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the arguments as set forth in both the final rejection and the advisory action issued 10/25/02.

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600